```
POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
                            STATE OF WASHINGTON
2
   IN THE MATTER OF
   MIKE MCHUGH,
   d.b.a. McHUGH-BOWMAN CONSTRUCTION,
                                             PCHB No. 77-106
                          Appellant,
5
                                             FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
6
                   v.
                                             CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
                                             AND ORDER
   PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION
   CONTROL AGENCY,
8
                          Respondent.
9
        This matter, the appeal of a $100 civil penalty for the alleged
10
   violation of Section 8.02(3) of respondent's Regulation I, came before
11
   the Pollution Control Hearings Board, W. A. Gissberg, Chairman (presiding),
12
   Dave J. Mooney and Chris Smith at a formal hearing on October 31, 1977 in
13
   Seattle, Washington.
14
        Appellant, a partnership, appeared through its partners, Mike McHugh
15
```

and Steve Bowman; respondent appeared by and through its attorney, Keith

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, and having

BEFORE THE

S F No 000 -03-09

D. McGoffin.

16

17

1S

considered the contentions of the parties, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

Appellant is a partnership engaged in construction of residences in The partnership engaged two young men to rid a Kert, Washington. construction area of debris which was located at 12623 S.E. 231st Street in rent. Because the debris included asphalt sheeting, cardboard, wire, crating material and "general trash" which the partners knew should not be purned, instructions were given by them that the debris was to be hauled away. However, on June 27, 1977, because the young men could not get their truck to operate and the deadline for them to complete their task was fast approaching, appellant's instructions were disregarded and three pi of the debris were set on fire. After the fires were "going good," Mike McHagh, a partner, arrived, observed them, but made no effort to put them out. Soretime after McHugh departed, respondent's inspector arrived as a result of a complaint received by telephone. The inspector found the fires, each 4 feet by 3 feet by 2 feet high, containing the aforementioned debris and observed black smoke emissions from them. Appellant, which has had no previous violations, was issued a Notice of Violation from which followed a civil penalty in the amount of \$100 and this appeal.

ΙI

Pursuant to PCW 43.21B.260, respondent has filed a certified copy of its Regulation I and amendments thereto which we notice.

Section 8.02(3) of the regulation makes it unlawful for any person to cause or allow an outdoor fire containing garbage, asphalt, petroleum.

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

 21

22

23

24

products, paint, rubber products, plastics or any substance other than natural vegetation which normally emits dense smoke. Section 3.29 provides for a penalty of up to \$250 per day for each violation of Regulation I.

III

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I

The Board has jurisdiction over the persons and over the subject matter of this proceeding.

II

While appellant is correct in its contention that it did not "cause" the fire to be ignited, it did "allow" the same to be continued after its discovery. Therefore, Section 8.02(3) of Regulation I of respondent was violated. Such a technical distinction may not be readily apparent to appellant, but it nonetheless exists in the law. However, we believe that under the circumstances, the civil penalty should be suspended.

III

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

From these Conclusions, the Pollution Control Hearings Board enters this

3

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

ర

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ባጜ

∠6

ORDER

The \$100 civil penalty is affirmed, provided however, that such penalty is suspended on condition that appellant not violate the provisions of Regulation I for a period of one year from the date of this Order.

DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 7th day of November, 1977.

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BGARD

W. A. GISSBERG, Chalyman

DAVE J. MOCNEY, Member =

CHRIS SMITH, Member

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, COnclusions of LAW AND ORDER